# Closed Domain Question Answering Using Fuzzy Semantics

## Richard Bergmair

### Acknowledgments

thanks for supervising the project! Ann Copestake (Cambridge Computer Lab) thanks for helping with the fuzzy logic! Ulrich Bodenhofer (Johannes Kepler University Linz) thanks for reading related manuscripts! Ted Briscoe (Cambridge Computer Lab) Daniel Osherson (Princeton Psychology Dept) thanks for participating in the experiment! MPhil students, NLIP Group, RMRS-list, personal friends

### Motivation

*a small city near San Francisco* (example due to Zadeh)

What does small'(x) mean in terms of population? What does near'(x,y) mean in terms of distance?

How do we deal with the vagueness in **small** and **near**?

### Motivation

#### Natural Language Database Demo Interface

Query: hot dry city

Submit

dof	mainid x4.placeid	x4.placename	x4.type	x4.lat	x4.long x4.pop	x4.temp	x4.wet
1.000	76 76	Blythe	city	984201	-19999748428	21	26
1.000	90 90	Brawley	city	995189	-2016437 18923	21	26
1.000	103 103	Calexico	city	1000449	-2015868 18633	20	27
1.000	106 106	Calipatria	city	992616	-2016162 2690	21	26
1.000	218 218	East Blythe	CDP	984161	-1999751 1511	21	26
1.000	233 233	El Centro	city	998552	-2016891 31384	21	26
1.000	326 326	Heber	CDP	999477	-2016206 2566	20	27
1.000	340 340	Holtville	city	998089	-2013714 4820	21	26
1.000	351 351	Imperial	city	997621	-2017094 4113	21	26
1.000	535 535	Niland	CDP	990674	-20160841183	21	26
1.000	727 727	Seeley	CDP	998519	-2019000 1228	21	26
1.000	842 842	Westmorland	city	994195	-2017975 1380	21	26
0.950	70 70	Big River	CDP	974939	-1995968 705	20	30
0.950	75 75	Bluewater	CDP	974337	-1994399 261	20	30
0.688	152 152	Coachella	city	982953	-2027239 16896	18	32
0.688	354 354	Indio	city	982274	-2028572 36793	18	32
0.688	483 483	Mecca	CDP	984786	-2025833 1966	18	32
0.625	529 529	Needles	city	963175	-2000378 5191	18	37
0.562	81 81	Bonita	CDP	1000641	-2042556 12542	17	33
0.562	114 114	Camp Pendleton South	CDP	990765	-2048554 11299	17	33
0 560	117 117	Carlahad	oitu	000676	2017021 62126	1.7	00

-

Fuzzy Logic

# In classical logic: A is a set on domain X iff $\exists$ characteristic function $\chi_A: X \to \{0,1\}$ such that $\chi_A(x) = 1$ iff $x \in A$ .



# In fuzzy logic: A is a fuzzy set on domain X iff $\exists$ characteristic function $\mu_A: X \to [0,1]$ such that $\mu_A(x)$ is a degree of membership.

Fuzzy Logic

Let A,B,C be fuzzy sets on X. Then  $C = A \cap B$  with  $\mu_C(x) = \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(x)$  iff  $\wedge:[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  with

(1)  $a \wedge b = b \wedge a$ (2)  $a \wedge (b \wedge c) = (a \wedge b) \wedge c$ (3)  $a \leq b \Longrightarrow (a \wedge c) \leq (b \wedge c)$ (4)  $a \wedge 1 = a$ 

For example: numeric product!

### Ordering-based semantics

What exactly is it that a fuzzy set represents in a theory of natural language semantics?

A first approach: The meaning of a vague expression is a fuzzy set.

Alternatively: meaning is the ordering imposed on the domain by a fuzzy set.

### Ordering-based semantics

Problem: no universal intuitions about sets.

The decision boundary for a *tiny* city is sometimes placed higher than for a *small* one, for different subjects (Bergmair 2006)

*very* only shifts decision boundaries when subjects can directly contrast them. (Cliff 1988, Smith et al. 1988, O' Muircheartaigh et al. 1993, Wright et al. 1995)

### Conclusions

We've *introduced fuzzy semantics* as a new approach to semantics which provides a more adequate model of vague language.

We've *implemented* the model in the form of an *NLID*, and provided *empirical evidence* in support of our model.

# Closed Domain Question Answering Using Fuzzy Semantics

## Richard Bergmair